United States District Court

WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

REED STANLEY BERRY Case N	lumber:	1:11-mj-82
---------------------------	---------	------------

KEE	בט פ	DIANLET DERKT	
requir	In ac	ccordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C.§3142(f), a detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.	a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts
•		Part I - Find	lings of Fact
<u> </u>	(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described	d in 18 U.S.C. §3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a (federal federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had
		a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C.§3156	6(a)(4).
		an offense for which the maximum sentence is I	•
		an offense for which the maximum term of impr	risonment of ten years or more is prescribed in
		a felony that was committed after the defendant h U.S.C.§3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or	ad been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 local offenses.
	(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while offense.	e the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local
	(3)		e (date of conviction) (release of the defendant from imprisonment) for
	(4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable president assure the safety of (an)other person(s) and the corpresumption.	umption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably mmunity. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this
		Alternate Fin	ndings (A)
Ш	(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant	
	for which a maximum term of imprisonment of t under 18 U.S.C.§924(c).	ten years or more is prescribed in	
	(2)		olished by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will s required and the safety of the community.
		Alternate Fi	ndings (B)
	(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appe	
X	(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endange	er the safety of another person or the community.
		reflects a lengthy history of marijuana use, beginning v	nvictions dating back to when he was a minor. His record also when he was 17, and culminating in daily use until the summer of tenced in June 2007 for the delivery/manufacture of marijuana, so any n of the law, but of his probation/parole as well.
		Testimony at the hearing on this motion established th	at the defendant knew the FBI was (continued on attachment)
		Part II - Written Statement	of Reasons for Detention
d that t	the c	credible testimony and information submitted at the	hearing establishes by a preponderance of the evidence that
and cor was rel	nvinc ease	cing evidence that no condition or combination of ced. In addition to the present offense, defendant is	ence of the defendant for future court proceedings and by clear conditions will assure the safety of the community, if defendant s well aware the FBI is using considerable resources to ist-related activities. He is aware (continued on attachment)
		Part III - Directions I	Regarding Detention
acility s defenda or on re	separ int sh ques	rate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting all be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private cons	neral or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The sultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States rge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United h a court proceeding.
Dotod:	. S e	eptember 28, 2011	/s/ Hugh W. Brenneman, Jr.
Dated:			Signature of Judicial Officer
			Hugh W. Brenneman, United States Magistrate Judge
			Name and Title of Judicial Officer

United States v. **REED STANLEY BERRY** 1:11-mj-82

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

Page 2.

Alternate Findings (B) - (continued)

investigating him for terrorist-related activities, but he nevertheless attempted to ram the car of a special agent of the FBI who was surveilling him on the weekend of September 11, 2011.

Defendant's criminal record is replete with instances showing a repeated disregard of the judicial system. On six or more occasions, defendant has had his bond, probation or parole revoked, resulting in him being returned to prison or other custody.

Defendant also told the FBI that he had been planning to join his wife in London and never return to the United States before the federal government put him on a no-fly status. Defendant also purportedly told a defendant who has been indicted for terrorist-related activities that he (Berry) wanted to travel to Somalia "for vacation."

Defendant is aware of how seriously the FBI is pursuing an investigation of him pertaining to alleged terrorist-related activities.

Part II - Written Statement of Reasons for Detention - (continued)

he has been put on the no-fly list. He has a wife in London. He has told the FBI that he wants to leave this country and never return. He has allegedly told at least one other person under indictment for terrorist-related activities that he would like to go to Somalia, the home of a known terrorism organization. Thus, he has a substantial interest in fleeing and has said that if he leaves the United States, he does not intend to return. The court further finds that defendant has been continually involved in criminal activity during his adult life and that when placed under the trust of the court will, based upon past performance, be unmanageable and can be expected to commit further misconduct. If a defendant's behavior is unmanageable when he is on bond, probation or parole, there is no way for the court to assure the safety of the community.